

# EXHIBIT A

1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

3 - - - - -

4 In Re:

5 Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming

6 Products Liability Litigation

7

8 This Document Relates To:

9 All Actions MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLM)

10 - - - - -

11

12

13 DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL A. MONT

14 VOLUME I, PAGES 1 - 369

15 JULY 28, 2017

16

17

18 (The following is the deposition of MICHAEL  
19 A. MONT, taken pursuant to Notice of Taking  
20 Deposition, via videotape, at the offices of Weisman,  
21 Kennedy & Beris, 101 West Prospect, Cleveland, Ohio,  
22 commencing at approximately 9:14 o'clock a.m., July  
23 28, 2017.)

24

25

1 APPEARANCES:

2 On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:

3 Ben W. Gordon, Jr.  
4 LEVIN, PAPANTONIO, THOMAS, MITCHELL,  
5 RAFFERTY & PROCTOR, P.A.  
316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600  
Pensacola, Florida 32502-5996

6 Gabriel Assaad  
7 KENNEDY HODGES  
4409 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 200  
Houston, Texas 77006

8 Christopher L. Coffin  
9 PENDLEY BAUDIN & COFFIN  
10 1515 Poydras Street, Suite 1400  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

11 On Behalf of Defendants:

12 Corey L. Gordon, Peter J. Goss and Micah  
13 Hines  
BLACKWELL BURKE P.A.  
14 431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

15 ALSO APPEARING:

16 Ryan M. Stirewalt, Videotechnician

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

|    |                 |                                     |             |
|----|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
|    |                 |                                     | 3           |
| 1  |                 | I N D E X                           |             |
| 2  | EXHIBITS        | DESCRIPTION                         | PAGE MARKED |
| 3  | Ex 1            | Subpoena                            | 9           |
| 4  | 2               | Handwritten notes, one page         | 11          |
| 5  | 3               | Handwritten reference list          | 13          |
| 6  | 4               | Mont curriculum vitae               | 15          |
| 7  | 5               | Mont expert report                  | 16          |
| 8  | 6               | Invoices                            | 57          |
| 9  | 7               | Invoices                            | 57          |
| 10 | 8               | Exhibit A to expert report          | 69          |
| 11 | 9               | Mont listing of depositions and     |             |
| 12 |                 | trials                              | 69          |
| 13 | 10              | Slide, Sources of Heat in Operating |             |
| 14 |                 | Room                                | 69          |
| 15 | 11              | Article, HEPA Filters Do Not        |             |
| 16 |                 | Affect Infection Rates following    |             |
| 17 |                 | Primary Total Joint Arthroplasty    |             |
| 18 |                 | with Forced Air Warmers, by         |             |
| 19 |                 | Curtis, et al                       | 69          |
| 20 | 12              | Group of slides                     | 69          |
| 21 | 13              | Slide, Common Sources of            |             |
| 22 |                 | Bacteria in Operating Room          | 69          |
| 23 | WITNESS         | EXAMINATION BY                      | PAGE        |
| 24 | Michael A. Mont | Mr. B. Gordon                       | 4           |
| 25 |                 | Mr. Assaad                          | 220         |

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (Witness sworn.)

3 MR. B. GORDON: Do you want to make  
4 appearances for the record?

5 Ben Gordon, Ben Gordon for the plaintiffs.

6 You guys want to put your appearance on the  
7 record?

8 MR. ASSAAD: Gabe Assaad for the plaintiffs.

9 MR. COFFIN: Chris Coffin for the  
10 plaintiffs.

11 MR. C. GORDON: Corey Gordon for the  
12 defendant.

13 MR. GOSS: Peter Goss for the defendant.

14 MS. HINES: Micah Hines or the defendant.

15 MICHAEL A. MONT

16 called as a witness, being first duly sworn,  
17 was examined and testified as follows:

18 ADVERSE EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. B. GORDON:

20 Q. Good morning, Dr. Mont. My name is Ben  
21 Gordon and we met a few minutes ago; did we not?

22 A. Yes, we did.

23 Q. You've had your deposition taken before I  
24 understand; right?

25 A. I have.

1 speaking objection. You got onto me about it.

2 Q. So doctor, I'm going to repeat the question.  
3 I don't think it's argumentative.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. The question is whether, in your report, you  
6 listed a number of different operating room devices  
7 that you believe are things that have to be looked at  
8 with respect to potential contamination of the  
9 operating room environment. Isn't that what you said  
10 on page four?

11 A. It -- I used a --

12 Yeah. You're using the term "devices."  
13 Some of these things are -- I don't know what the  
14 definition that you have of "devices" -- some of them  
15 are blades, --

16 Q. Well doctor, you said --

17 A. -- tips -- suction tips. I mean they can  
18 all be considered devices. Some of them are operating  
19 room traffic. I just -- I just listed a melange of  
20 things in the orthopedic theater that are used that  
21 are exogenous sources of -- potential exogenous  
22 sources of contamination in the operating room theater  
23 and --

24 But I'm happy to answer any question you're  
25 asking.

1 Q. Let me read your -- let me read your  
2 sentence exactly, doctor, so it's clear for the jury.  
3 And I want you to listen for me here and ask you where  
4 you limit this to orthopedic cases.

5 Top of page four, quote, "The operating room  
6 environment has a multitude of sources of potential  
7 contamination. This should be minimized, as much as  
8 possible, by not prolonging surgeries unnecessarily to  
9 minimize further skin or wound contamination,  
10 minimizing operating room traffic, and being careful  
11 about contamination of necessary equipment, e.g.  
12 suction tips, blades, saws, light handles, et cetera."

13 Anywhere in those two sentences did you  
14 limit your concern about the operating room and  
15 potential contamination to just orthopedic cases?

16 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
17 question, --

18 A. I -- I --

19 MR. C. GORDON: -- it mischaracterizes --  
20 wait, wait, wait -- mischaracterizes the -- the -- the  
21 evidence, takes it out of context. And the entire  
22 section is about periprosthetic joint infections.

23 MR. B. GORDON: Object to counsel's side-bar  
24 and testifying for the witness.

25 A. I -- I would have answered it without his

1   prompting in two manners. I would have said that  
2   the -- what we said, the bold part of this topic is  
3   periprosthetic joint infections, so what I'm saying in  
4   here primarily applies to -- to joint arthroplasty  
5   surgeries, which is the major topic of this whole  
6   case, which is what we're talking about. That would  
7   have been my first answer. But the second part is  
8   when you were reading it carefully to me, I was  
9   listening and reading it again carefully. Would I  
10   agree with almost all of those statements being  
11   correct in a generic sense for the operating room? I  
12   didn't write it in that -- I -- I was writing this  
13   more for orthopedics and for joint arthro --  
14   arthroplasty when I wrote that. I know how I was  
15   thinking because I was just imagining myself doing a  
16   joint arthroplasty case, and that's how I wrote it. I  
17   didn't write this from a book.

18               You're asking for references sometimes.  
19   Some of these -- some of these statements here in  
20   this -- even in this whole section, they're not  
21   referenced because it's from my knowledge. I believe  
22   a lot of it is common knowledge like what I put in  
23   there. But if I read that, unless we nitpick this on  
24   something else, I think that statement also applies in  
25   general to any surgery.



1 Q. Doctor, you would agree -- and I think you  
2 did earlier -- with the proposition that patient  
3 warming devices are potential external sources of  
4 contamination in the operating room; --

5 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form --

6 Q. -- correct?

7 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
8 question.

9 Q. In orthopedic cases.

10 A. No, I don't agree with that.

11 Q. So you don't agree that patient warming  
12 devices are among the pieces of equipment in the  
13 operating room, like this litany of others you list,  
14 that could be sources of contamination in orthopedic  
15 surgery cases?

16 A. Well you want to go one by one? What are we  
17 defining as patient warming devices? If you bring --  
18 if you bring a little hot -- if you bring a little IV  
19 fluid that's a little warm and you call that a patient  
20 warming device -- which it shouldn't be, it shouldn't  
21 be used for that purpose -- and the thing is  
22 contaminated, it could cause bacteria. Is that  
23 what --

24 I mean I don't understand what the question  
25 is.

1 I can't say no. Other orthopedists may talk  
2 about what you're talking about, but I haven't  
3 witnessed the thousands and thousands of orthopedic  
4 surgeons that I encounter talking about that.

5 Q. You mentioned that the Mistral has a HEPA  
6 filter whereas the Bair Hugger does not; correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. And that's a higher level of filtration, the  
9 HEPA filter, than the MERV 14 filter or the M20  
10 filter, or however you want to describe it, that is in  
11 the Bair Hugger; isn't that right?

12 A. I don't know how you're defining "higher  
13 level." You -- you're defining it filters a certain  
14 amount -- it --

15 It does have a higher filtration efficiency  
16 for certain size particles, if that's how you are  
17 defining "higher level."

18 Q. Are you an expert on filtration efficiency,  
19 doctor?

20 A. No, I'm not an expert on filtration  
21 efficiency.

22 Q. You're not an engineer; right?

23 A. I am not an engineer.

24 Q. Have you ever designed a patient warming  
25 system of any kind?

1 Q. Okay. You talk in your report about the  
2 Bair Hugger and describe it as being far away from the  
3 patient or far away from the sterile field. Do you  
4 recall that?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. How far away is the exhaust of the Bair  
7 Hugger from the patient typically in your operating  
8 room?

9 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
10 question.

11 Q. And when I say that, in the past, obviously.

12 MR. C. GORDON: Same objection.

13 A. I can't give you an exact number, but  
14 it's -- I would say it's in feet, --

15 Q. And --

16 A. -- two feet or more.

17 Q. Is it your testimony before this jury today  
18 that a device that is -- that is within feet of the  
19 patient, it's okay with you as the orthopedic surgeon  
20 doing those ultraclean prosthetic joint surgeries to  
21 have a machine that has known contamination in the  
22 machine in that context? Is that acceptable to you as  
23 a surgeon?

24 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
25 question.

1           A.    I have machines that are within inches that  
2    have known contamination, and we have to deal with  
3    that.  So this is well further away and draped off.  
4    It's so far removed compared to a number of other  
5    things that are within inches --

6           Q.    And you're concerned about --

7           A.    -- or -- or less in the field.

8           Q.    Sorry.

9           A.    I'm always concerned about everything, but  
10   not --

11          Q.    Not the Bair Hugger.

12          A.    It's so -- it's far removed and it's --  
13   it's --

14                If I put on my list of concerns, if we say  
15   that anything is game, if we want to do it that way,  
16   then I -- I can probably make a list for you and put  
17   it as number 27 out of 28.

18          Q.    Okay.  So -- so it's on the list, it's just  
19   way down the list.

20          A.    I wouldn't even put it on the list.

21          Q.    Well you didn't in your report; did you?

22          A.    I don't think it's operative.

23          Q.    You put a litany of things on --

24          A.    Some things, I think that if you -- I think  
25   that if you -- if you go into Burger King and you have

1 happened over the weekend where there was like a  
2 damage to a wall, and they shut down the whole OR, one  
3 of our orthopedists. So they are to me obsessively  
4 compulsive about the details of what you just asked  
5 about everything. I've seen that with them. So there  
6 are people doing that. I'm just not involved in that.

7 Q. Have you ever opera -- I'm sorry -- designed  
8 an operating room manual for oper -- let me --

9 MR. B. GORDON: That's bad, Dick. Let me  
10 start over.

11 Q. Have you ever designed an operating room?

12 A. The closest I could say to designing an OR  
13 is when I would do surgery on animals in the '90s and  
14 we had to figure out which room we were going to use  
15 to operate on rabbits or dogs and say, "Is this  
16 ideal?" And I'd get an anesthesiologist and my vet  
17 and we'd sit there and we'd look at different rooms  
18 and say where do we think it's best. So maybe that's  
19 a facetious answer, but the answer is no --

20 Q. So would you --

21 A. -- in a general sense.

22 Q. Thank you, doctor.

23 Based on that answer, would you defer to  
24 experts on operating room design about the ventilation  
25 systems used in those operating rooms?

1 A. Absolutely.

2 Q. Okay. Fair enough.

3 You're not a member of ASHRAE. You know  
4 what ASHRAE is?

5 A. I -- I don't --

6 I can't give you give you the whole eponym,  
7 but yes --

8 Q. And --

9 A. -- that determines the standards for --

10 Q. -- NIOSH is another one.

11 A. I don't even know that one. I'm just --

12 Q. Okay. What about the American Institute of  
13 Architects who help design hospitals, you -- you a  
14 member of that?

15 A. No, I'm not a member of that.

16 Q. All right. Let me ask you if you -- if you  
17 agree or disagree with this statement. I'm going to  
18 give you two statements. Number one: "Infection  
19 control is critical in ORs." Agree or disagree?

20 A. Have to hundred percent agree.

21 Q. Number two: "Studies have demonstrated that  
22 most of the causes of wound contamination in the OR  
23 are the result of the patient's skin flora and  
24 bacteria shed on airborne particles from the OR  
25 personnel." Agree or disagree?

1 clear.

2 A. Well my own patient is two out of 10, --

3 Q. Okay. Two out of 10.

4 A. -- Carter and Cherrak.

5 Q. Okay. Doctor, you're not an infectious  
6 disease doctor; are you?

7 A. No.

8 Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in  
9 microbiology or infectious disease?

10 A. I don't hold --

11 I hold myself to the extent, as an  
12 orthopedic surgeon, I have to deal with infections and  
13 have published a lot on infected hip and knee  
14 replacements, which are relevant to the case, to that  
15 extent I'm to some extent an expert. As a -- as a  
16 pure infectious disease person, microbiologist, no,  
17 I'm not an expert.

18 MR. B. GORDON: Fair enough. Thank you,  
19 doctor. We can take lunch.

20 THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.

21 (Luncheon recess taken.)

22

23

24

25

1 MR. ASSAAD: Okay.

2 MR. C. GORDON: -- as eight.

3 MR. ASSAAD: Withdraw the question.

4 Q. You've studied periprosthetic joint  
5 infections; correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. You've actually done studies and have  
8 published on the issue; correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And you --

11 And sitting here today, you can't cite a  
12 study without looking at Exhibit A, off the top of  
13 your head, of any study that shows that normothermia  
14 reduces the risk of periprosthetic joint infection.

15 If you can't, you can't, sir.

16 A. For a real answer, there are some things  
17 that if it's already been confirmed in other  
18 specialties, it would be unconscionable -- by all  
19 three definitions of the word -- to actually do a  
20 study would be unethical, unconscionable. You  
21 couldn't get patients to do a study like that. And  
22 because of all the problems that not maintaining  
23 normothermia would ensue, there wouldn't be a study  
24 like that. In addition, we know that the lack of  
25 normothermia will lead to hematomas and bleeding



1 So --

2 MR. C. GORDON: Okay. You know, Gabe, I  
3 just want to point out, in that question you asked  
4 "literature that supports." He was giving you a --  
5 a -- a fairly detailed explanation of the literature  
6 that supports normothermia's relationship to  
7 periprosthetic joint infection. You may not like it,  
8 you may not think it's responsive, that's fine, --

9 MR. ASSAAD: I want --

10 MR. C. GORDON: -- just let him finish.

11 Q. So -- so I want the name of the literature.

12 A. So I'm -- I'm not going to -- to --

13 My answer is normothermia promotes  
14 tremendous health benefits to the patients that have  
15 been studied outside of orthopedics. I would have to  
16 look specifically in ortho and see the -- indirectly  
17 how it's shown that, but it wouldn't be something  
18 studied because of what -- that specific topic because  
19 we know that normothermia promotes so many other  
20 beneficial effects. And in fact you asked me for a  
21 study and you didn't -- and I don't have to even tell  
22 you what I mean by "a study," so I know that published  
23 literature is considered studies by many people, so  
24 that consensus statement by -- by Parvizi would count,  
25 so would the CDC recommendation to reduce

1 infections -- periprosthetic infections by maintaining  
2 normothermia, that would count. And for all the  
3 benefits of normothermia, I don't like a -- an answer  
4 that would be taken out of context, so I will maintain  
5 that answer.

6 Q. Do you have an understanding of whether or  
7 not using forced-air warming has an effect on  
8 hypothermia during the first hour of surgery?

9 A. I can't give you every detail of it. I  
10 would expect that FAW can help --

11 I'm trying to think of different studies  
12 that looked at timing of forced-air warming. But  
13 again, that's not what I was called to be the expert.  
14 There are other experts on the device.

15 Q. And -- and I agree to that. And you --

16 So you would agree that you are not an  
17 expert with respect to maintaining normothermia and  
18 its effect on -- all its effects on surgical outcomes.

19 A. There are articles I've written that show  
20 that the FAW was very eff -- extremely effective at  
21 maintaining normothermia. There are a number of  
22 published reports; they are part of that exhibit  
23 that's in there. And it's been recommended by  
24 association of the nurses. A lot has been written  
25 about it. So -- and -- and there are a number of

1 Q. Turning to page five of your deposition  
2 dealing with the paragraph that starts "The impact of  
3 ventilation" --

4 MR. GOSS: His report?

5 MR. ASSAAD: I'm sorry. Correct, your  
6 report, Exhibit 5. Page five Exhibit 5. Thank you,  
7 Corey.

8 Q. You don't hold yourself out as a ventilation  
9 expert; correct?

10 A. I am not a ventilation expert. I know of  
11 ventilation to some extent, but --

12 Q. Okay. You wouldn't know how an operating  
13 room ventilation works and maintains positive pressure  
14 and the types of filtration used.

15 A. I would know that --

16 For example, at this consensus conference I  
17 was asked questions about the -- the success rate of  
18 laminar flow versus ultraviolet versus turbulent  
19 versus -- what was the other one -- versus something  
20 else. I had to actually give a few statements, so --

21 Q. Did you say ultraviolet? You meant ultra --  
22 ultraclean?

23 A. UV radiation.

24 Q. Okay.

25 A. Okay. So I had to prepare little statements

243

1 on the literature at the time. Now that doesn't make  
2 me an expert, it just makes me looking at results of  
3 like New Zealand Registry about laminar flow and  
4 cup -- and I also had to look up space suits, so --  
5 which were related, combination of laminar flow with  
6 space suits and the risk of periprosthetic infection  
7 for -- I did a little bit of that work for the  
8 consensus conference.

9 Q. Do you know what a Reynolds number is?

10 A. A what?

11 Q. A Reynolds number.

12 A. I've heard of a Reynolds number, but no, I'm  
13 not --

14 Q. Do you know what the Navier-Stokes equations  
15 are?

16 A. No, I don't.

17 Q. Do you know -- do you know what the  
18 Archimedes number is?

19 A. I know who Archimedes is, but I don't know  
20 what the Archimedes --

21 Q. Do you know --

22 A. -- number is.

23 Q. Do you know the difference between a lam --  
24 what the Reynolds number would indicate, to know the  
25 difference between what's a laminar flow and a

1     turbulent flow?

2             A.     These are the parts that I'm not an expert  
3     on, and that's why you see that certain -- certain --

4                     I don't spend that much time on that part.  
5     That's not -- I'm not --

6                     On the part of what you're asking me is  
7     infection rates with laminar flow versus turbulent  
8     flow, but not knowing how many cycles of -- of --  
9     are -- are -- of air are coming per minute or at a  
10    certain point, what is disrupting the -- the turbulent  
11    flow or the laminar flow, what -- what's the effect of  
12    people going into the flow rate and things like that,  
13    that is not my expertise, which is I -- what I think  
14    you're asking.

15            Q.     So sitting here today, would you agree with  
16    me that you don't have the expertise to indicate if  
17    any medical device that blows air, its effect on the  
18    airflow in an operating room?

19                     MR. C. GORDON:   Object to the form of the  
20    question, misstates his testimony.

21            A.     I think I have been aware when something is  
22    blowing air in my face in the OR or things like that  
23    on a gross level, and on -- and on a micro level or  
24    a --

25                     I have read these articles that are

1 people said. "We don't want the door opened or shut.  
2 We want no air currents from opening or shutting the  
3 door, and we don't want people walking around and  
4 throwing more currents." And that was stated by  
5 our -- our infectious disease experts --

6 Q. So --

7 A. -- in those periods of time when we wanted  
8 to reduce infections.

9 Q. So you're relying on what the infection  
10 disease experts told you in Baltimore in your opinion.

11 A. That, and reading articles, --

12 Q. What --

13 A. -- speaking to people, expert -- expert, and  
14 I think there's also some of -- some statements by the  
15 CDC. As well as this consensus statement mentions  
16 that, reducing that.

17 Q. But you have no education with respect to --  
18 You're not an engineer; correct?

19 A. I already answered that question. I am not  
20 an engineer.

21 Q. Okay. And you -- and you have not done  
22 any --

23 You have no education with respect to how  
24 objects that move affect airflow; correct?

25 A. What do you mean I have no education?

1 Q. Well what --

2 A. Formal education? Scientific? I didn't  
3 write a --

4 Q. Do you take -- do you take --

5 Did you ever take a class on fluid dynamics?

6 A. No, I did not.

7 Q. Did you ever have a class on heat transfer?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. I mean air -- air -- the -- you  
10 have --

11 You have no expertise to indicate whether or  
12 not someone moving in the operating room will affect  
13 the unidirectional or downward airflow of a  
14 ventilation system; do you, sir?

15 A. I -- I can read an article and see --

16 When the article that says a person moving  
17 into the room, their head moving this way or that  
18 affects laminar flow and causes laminar flow currents  
19 to become disrupted or can affect that, I'm -- I may  
20 not have an engineering degree, but I'm able to read  
21 certain articles, discuss different things with  
22 different people and, in my idea, form an opinion.  
23 That doesn't mean I have to know Reynolds numbers or  
24 be an engineer to be able to form an opinion.

25 Anyone, even if you are an engineer, these

1 are opinions, but I think that some of this makes  
2 sense.

3 Q. So --

4 A. It's a logic thing. Some of these things  
5 you do want to have an engineering degree to  
6 understand and calibrate ORs the correct way, I agree  
7 with you on that, but some of them --

8 Clearly, if you have people running around  
9 the OR and creating -- and waving their hands, that's  
10 not optimal for surgery.

11 That would be an exaggeration.

12 Q. What's the velocity of air that's created by  
13 waving your hand?

14 A. I can't give you an exact number right this  
15 moment.

16 Q. So my understanding is if I read an  
17 orthopedic article, that makes me an expert in that  
18 area of orthopedics --

19 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
20 question.

21 Q. -- by just reading the article?

22 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
23 question.

24 A. I never said that.

25 MR. C. GORDON: Also lack of foundation.



1 Q. Huh?

2 A. I never said that.

3 Q. Well, you're relying on articles you've  
4 read, correct, even though they're outside your  
5 expertise?

6 A. I'm -- I'm allowed to have an opinion about  
7 many topics that are outside my expertise. As an  
8 orthopedic surgeon, as I said earlier, I can't  
9 divorce -- even though I'm not an infectious disease  
10 expert or microbiologist, I can't divorce myself from  
11 knowledge in taking care of the patients that have  
12 infections and working with the microbiologists,  
13 infections from the surgeons' points of view, and  
14 it -- you work as teams, but it is important for me to  
15 have a working knowledge of a lot more topics than are  
16 in my exact field of expertise past just general  
17 orthopedics or joint -- joint reconstruction about  
18 orthopedics. That would be my answer.

19 Q. Okay. On number eight you type -- you --  
20 you say, "Many pieces of equipment in the OR generate  
21 air currents, including those that have cooling fans."

22 What devices are you referring to?

23 MR. C. GORDON: Where is that?

24 MR. ASSAAD: Number eight.

25 MR. C. GORDON: Oh.

1           A.    There -- there's a device where -- that  
2   irrigates wounds.  We have a flow tube that goes into  
3   the wound that has a cooling fan on it.

4           Q.    Is the cooling fan directed onto the  
5   surgical site?

6           A.    No.

7           Q.    Okay.

8           A.    No.  It's away from.  But it has --

9           Q.    And what's the CFM of that cooling fan, do  
10   you know?

11          A.    I wouldn't know that.

12          Q.    Okay.  What other device?

13          A.    I mean I can find any of these things out  
14   for you, but that's not to me relevant to knowing  
15   that.  Maybe you find it was.

16          Q.    Okay.  What other device, sir?

17                   Monitors?

18          A.    Well those are further -- I'm not going to  
19   even think about those.  But further away from the  
20   field are anesthesia machines, at least one or two,  
21   and they're going to have cooling fans that would  
22   be -- you'd want to maintain the temperature.

23          Q.    Any evidence that indicates that the cooling  
24   fans of an anesthesia machine has caused a  
25   surgical-site infection or periprosthetic joint

1 infection?

2 A. Not to my knowledge.

3 Q. Okay. What else, sir?

4 A. Irrigation device, cautery.

5 I don't know if the electrocautery machine

6 has something in it that has -- it's a piece of

7 machinery, it can't get overheated. I --

8 Has some mechanism for maintaining cooling

9 in the machine itself because it's plugged in. I

10 don't --

11 Q. Are you speculating or --

12 A. I don't know if it's a fan or not.

13 Q. -- you -- or are you -- are you --

14 Do you say that to a reasonable degree of

15 probability, that you are certain that the

16 electrocautery device has some sort of cooling

17 mechanism?

18 A. I'm speculating --

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. -- there, but I would believe that's the

21 case.

22 Q. Well let's not speculate. And I think

23 counsel will agree with me that you're not here to

24 guess or speculate. If you don't know the answer --

25 A. All right. I'll -- I'm going to think about

1                   Okay.

2           Q.    Do you have an opinion -- strike that.  What  
3   is the -- the --

4                   With respect to all people in the operating  
5   room, which is pretty much items one through five, do  
6   you know what volumetric flow is created when a person  
7   walks?

8           A.    No.

9           Q.    Okay.

10          A.    I can --

11                   Happy to look that up for you if you really  
12   want me to know that.

13          Q.    Do you know whether or not that volumetric  
14   flow would have an effect on the ventilation airflow  
15   over the surgical site?

16          A.    I would --

17                   Based on what I've read and what I would  
18   think, it could have an effect.

19          Q.    Okay.  How much -- how much airflow,  
20   volumetric airflow would be required to disrupt the  
21   unidirectional airflow in an operating room over the  
22   surgical site?

23          A.    Of which type of ventilation?

24          Q.    A unidirectional airflow coming down at  
25   about --

1           A.    Turbulent airflow or laminar or -- or  
2    what -- what --

3                   I mean they're all different.  Some of them  
4    are horizontal laminar, some of them are vertical  
5    laminar.

6           Q.    Unidirectional vertical laminar -- or  
7    unidirectional flow.  Well strike that.

8                   Do you believe that there are any operating  
9    rooms that have laminar flow?

10          A.    Do I believe what?

11          Q.    Is there any operating room that actually  
12    has laminar flow?

13          A.    I don't know what your question is, but  
14    there are -- there are many operating rooms that feel  
15    they use laminar flow, yes.

16          Q.    All right.  What about in the United States?

17          A.    Yes.

18          Q.    Do you use laminar flow?

19          A.    No.

20          Q.    Do you use unidirectional flow?

21          A.    I don't know your def -- definition of it.

22          Q.    Okay.

23          A.    We don't call it that.

24          Q.    Okay.  Well for downward unidirectional  
25    flow, turbulent if you want to define it, do you know

1 what volumetric flow is required --

2 (Mr. C. Gordon sneezes.)

3 Q. -- to disrupt --

4 THE WITNESS: Gesundheit.

5 Q. -- to disrupt the protective effect of the  
6 unidirectional airflow in an operating room?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Okay. Would number seven, "Moving of lights  
9 and other equipment directly creates waves or currents  
10 by individual (surgeon or team), as well as the  
11 specific object moving," do you know what volumetric  
12 airflow is created when you move lights?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. I'd be happy to find out if you really think  
16 that's important.

17 Q. Do you know how --

18 Do you know what the volumetric flow rate  
19 coming out of a Bair Hugger?

20 A. I don't want to say the wrong number, so the  
21 answer is no.

22 Q. Okay. Do you know what -- how much heat is  
23 produced by a Bair Hugger?

24 A. I have numbers in my head of what was said  
25 in articles.

1 Q. Okay. What's the number?

2 A. Some number like 800 milliwatts.

3 Q. Eight hundred milliwatts?

4 A. Milli, but the unit may be wrong.

5 Q. Okay.

6 A. But I know that in relation to what is  
7 generated in a ratio per -- per person on the  
8 operating room team.

9 Q. How -- how many milliwatts does a person  
10 create?

11 A. On the same ratio, if the number without the  
12 units is 800, then a person is a -- is a bit over 200.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. And these -- okay.

15 Q. And have you actually looked at the  
16 operating manual or the -- of a Bair Hugger?

17 A. At some point, yes, but not very -- not in  
18 any specifics that I would comment on.

19 Q. I mean it's not listed on any of the stuff  
20 you considered; correct?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Correct?

23 A. That's not my field. That's not my -- as  
24 you would say, that's not my area of expertise. And  
25 other people can comment on that.

1 burn your finger.

2 Q. And you agree with me that the heat that is  
3 being produced by the saw blade is above the operating  
4 room table.

5 A. It's above --

6 It's in the patient's wound, so anything in  
7 the patient's wound is above the table.

8 Q. Okay. And do you know how much heat the  
9 batteries that power the saw blades create?

10 A. I don't know the exact number. We --

11 Q. And you -- you --

12 A. We can get that, but there is certainly  
13 heat.

14 Q. And you --

15 A. The whole -- the whole --

16 Not only the battery and the saw blade, but  
17 the whole instrument can get, as you're using it more  
18 time and it's turned on, the whole thing can get  
19 really hot.

20 Q. And that -- that heat is generated above the  
21 operating room table; correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Okay. And the battery pack that -- that --  
24 that use the space -- that are on the spacesuits,  
25 they're right behind the head of the -- the surgeons,



1 the people that use them; correct?

2 A. They're behind the head, or they can be  
3 hanging down on the shoulder --

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. -- across the lower black.

6 Q. But they're also above the operating room  
7 table; correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Do you know how much heat they  
10 produce?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Okay. The general overhead lights in an  
13 operating room, you agree that the heat they -- they  
14 produce is above the operating room table; correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you know how much heat they produce?

17 A. Often a watt, but I can't tell you how much.

18 Q. Okay. The focused overhead lights directly  
19 at field, those are above the operating room table;  
20 correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And the heat they produce is above the  
23 operating room table; correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And do you know how much heat they produce?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Okay. The ancillary hooded lights that many  
3 surgeons wear and the light generating unit,  
4 that's -- that's -- that's above the operating room  
5 table; correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Do you know how much heat they produce?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. Do you know how much heat a patient  
10 produces?

11 A. I should know and I did know at one point,  
12 but I don't know exactly.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. I'm -- I'm sure that's variable depending on  
15 the -- the patient.

16 Q. And you told me before with respect to the  
17 surgeons and the people that are moving around, that's  
18 roughly about 200?

19 A. To the best of my knowledge.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. I will --

22 Q. All right.

23 A. I will recheck that.

24 Q. The machine to process fluid -- irrigation  
25 fluids, vacuum canisters and more substantial

1 canisters used nowadays that generate much heat, do  
2 you know how much heat they produce?

3 A. I don't know the exact number.

4 Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not they  
5 produce heat underneath the operating room table?

6 A. Well they are on the floor, so if you want  
7 to say under or pretty close to the bottom of the  
8 operating --

9 They start from the floor, they're --  
10 they're sitting on the floor and they go upwards, so  
11 they're -- so that would be the closest of all these  
12 answers to being on the floor or below the operating  
13 room table, those -- that.

14 Q. Well you -- you -- you mentioned that you  
15 read -- on your invoice you saw the report of --

16 Did you receive a copy of -- oh, here it  
17 is -- Settles paper? You read the Settles paper;  
18 correct?

19 A. Very briefly I did.

20 Q. Fifteen minutes; right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Were you aware that he measured that the  
23 temperature increased underneath the operating room  
24 table when the Bair Hugger was used?

25 A. I'd have to look at this report with you. I

1 wasn't -- I don't remember being -- I don't --

2 Q. By the way, when did you get the expert  
3 reports of Settles, Abraham, Lampotang, Hughes,  
4 Holford, the defense experts?

5 A. You want the exact date?

6 Q. Was it -- was it this month?

7 A. No. It was in June.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. Somewhere like June 10th. So I -- I read  
10 these about a month ago, that's why I can't give you  
11 an exact answer.

12 Q. Number nine --

13 A. On the Settles paper, which I had read, 15  
14 minutes was carefully enough for me to read that  
15 paper.

16 Q. By the way, do you feel any air come out of  
17 the Bair Hugger when you use it?

18 A. No.

19 Q. What about the --

20 A. Oh. Do I feel it when I'm in the case or --

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. -- do I feel it right there?

23 Q. When you're in the operating room.

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you see air coming out of the neck, like

1 flapping around from the neck with a plastic sheet  
2 cover?

3 A. Not really.

4 Q. Okay. Have you noticed a change -- change  
5 in temperature when the Bair Hugger is on?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Now number nine says, "Often other power  
8 sources for special blades used in some surgeries  
9 (more often revisions) for burring bone, cement, et  
10 cetera - Anspach/Midas Rex devices generates a  
11 tremendous amount of heat."

12 Do you agree with me the heat that these  
13 devices produce are above the operating room table;  
14 correct?

15 A. Yes. Some of the -- some of these are  
16 plugged into a wall that could be like, say, on the --  
17 there could be a wall outlet. So, for example, the  
18 Anspach device is plugged into a wall, but I don't  
19 think that's generating that much heat. It could be  
20 creating currents --

21 Q. Well the ones --

22 A. -- as it's moved around.

23 Q. Well the ones that generate a tremendous  
24 amount of heat, those are above the operating room  
25 table; correct?

1 A. Well an Anspach device --

2 I would say yes, that's correct.

3 Q. Okay. And sitting here today, you don't  
4 know the exact amount of heat that they produce;  
5 correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. Okay. Standard elect -- electrocautery  
8 devices, those produce heat above the operating room  
9 table; correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And sitting here today, you don't know  
12 what -- the amount of heat that they produce; correct?

13 A. I know how many degrees that a -- in a  
14 general sense that an electrocautery hits when it's  
15 turned on. It's like between three and five hundred  
16 degrees Fahrenheit. It's pretty --

17 Q. But when you're asked about watts or BTUs --

18 A. No, I don't -- I don't know that.

19 Q. Okay. And you don't know whether or not  
20 that quick burst of heat affects the unidirectional  
21 flow in an operating room; do you?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. I'll defer that.

25 Q. And -- and in fact you don't know --

1 I mean based on your education, training and  
2 experience, you haven't studied the effects of heat on  
3 unidirectional flow in an operating room; have you?

4 A. The effects of heat on unidirectional flow.  
5 No.

6 Q. Okay. Number 11, "Ancillary cautery  
7 devices - Plasmablade, Aquamantis, Canady, and  
8 others." You agree with me that all those devices  
9 produce heat above the operating room table; correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And sitting here today, you have no idea --

12 A. I'm going to say that I haven't studied that  
13 question about heat and everything like that, but I  
14 have read these articles and I see what -- the  
15 arguments that are made, so I -- I can still render  
16 certain opinions.

17 Q. Okay. And I can read orthopedic articles  
18 and render opinions as well in a court of law;  
19 correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Is that the standard --

22 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form --

23 Q. -- that you're going by?

24 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
25 question, --

1 A. No.

2 Q. Okay.

3 MR. C. GORDON: -- lack of foundation.

4 Q. Okay. Let's talk about --

5 So you agree with me that under 11, you  
6 don't know how much heat they produce; correct?

7 A. Can I say -- I --

8 In terms of what goes on in an operating  
9 room, I'm still the primary important person or the  
10 primary person in charge, that I have to theoretically  
11 be aware of not only my discipline but the  
12 anesthesiologist, except certain things, but be aware  
13 and understand other things. So I don't have to be  
14 the absolute expert on every single topic, but I still  
15 can have an opinion about them and I -- and I think  
16 that's very appropriate.

17 MR. ASSAAD: Move to strike as non-  
18 responsive to a non-existent question.

19 Q. Number 11, you agree with me that the  
20 devices under number 11 on page 11 of Exhibit 5, you  
21 don't know how much heat those devices produce;  
22 correct?

23 A. I don't know exactly.

24 Q. Okay. Number 12, "Various ancillary devices  
25 in the operating room by anesthesiologist, example,



1 defibrillator, computer, their monitor, their  
2 anesthesia machine is a source of heat."

3           Sitting here today, you agree that none of  
4 those devices produce heat underneath the operating  
5 room table; correct?

6           A.    I wouldn't know that --

7           Q.    Okay.

8           A.    -- one way or the other.

9           Q.    And sitting here today, you don't know how  
10 much heat those devices produce; correct?

11          A.    Correct.

12          Q.    Okay. So don't you think it would be  
13 important to know the exact amount of heat being  
14 produced by these devices to offer an opinion as to  
15 whether or not they have an effect, if any, greater or  
16 less than the Bair Hugger device?

17          A.    So my answer is once I knew that the four  
18 players that are involved in the surgery generate way  
19 more heat than -- directly to the patient than a Bair  
20 Hugger device, which is feet away, and that amount of  
21 heat would be dissi -- dissipated by the inverse of  
22 the distance, then to me all these other things were  
23 just further additive events and I didn't feel that I  
24 had to study and give you a -- a number for each of  
25 these answers. Nor do I feel that it -- it

1 necessarily matters whether it's below the table or  
2 above the table. I'm way more interested in heat  
3 that's generated right to the wound, which is the  
4 point of interest.

5 I certainly don't think that if I had spent  
6 a bunch more hours and been able to give you much  
7 better answers that would have been --

8 Well anyway, so that's why I felt that this  
9 was appropriate. These are the different devices that  
10 generate heat. I'd be happy to go and -- and read  
11 back these questions and give exact numbers and give a  
12 much better answer, but my basis of using that opinion  
13 was that I already knew that direct heat involvement  
14 and what a patient sees, which is what I'm worried  
15 about is what's happening in that wound, in that knee  
16 replacement or hip replacement, that is way more  
17 important in what's hitting that patient than things  
18 so far away. And that --

19 MR. ASSAAD: Move to strike as -- I'm  
20 sorry. Move to strike as non-responsive.

21 Q. What methodology -- well strike that.

22 Does the location of where the heat is  
23 produced, was that any part of your methodology in  
24 formulating your opinions?

25 A. I just told you it was. It even says it

1 directly with the probability of surgical-site  
2 infection?"

3 Consensus answer: "We recognize the  
4 probability of SSI correlates directly with the  
5 quantity of bacteria that reach the wound.  
6 Accordingly, we support strategies to lower  
7 particulate and bacterial counts at surgical wounds."

8 Would you agree, disagree, or abstain?

9 A. Well I just told you if -- it's -- what my  
10 answer was. You do want to reduce bacteria. That's  
11 what we -- that's what we're trying to do.

12 Q. And lower --

13 A. But your question was different than mine.

14 Q. I'm reading directly from the consensus.

15 A. No, no. Now I --

16 Oh. With that answer, I agree with that.

17 Q. Okay. So -- so you would say --

18 A. Oh, oh, I definitely agree with that.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. Not the first question, which was not  
21 phrased that way.

22 Q. And I'm reading directly from the consensus.

23 A. Yeah, of course.

24 Q. So you agree --

25 A. I agree with that.

1 Q. Okay. Are you -- are you aware that 93  
2 percent agree with that statement?

3 A. That's fine.

4 Q. Okay. And only five percent disagree.

5 A. I don't think it's terribly bad. I think  
6 that's fine.

7 Q. But you agree the 93 percent agreement  
8 according to the consensus, that's a strong consensus.

9 A. That's a very strong consensus.

10 Q. Okay. You agree with me that the majority  
11 of PJIs, periprosthetic joint infections, are  
12 initiated through the introduction of microorganisms  
13 at the time of surgery.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. Is that one of the --

17 Oh, never mind.

18 Q. Why would you abstain, by the way, from --

19 A. I'm -- I'm only abstaining right now because  
20 I don't know the context of what was being discussed  
21 since all those questions, they were part of group  
22 discussions and meetings.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. And as I said, that, you know, whether it  
25 comes directly on the skin or from the air, I don't

1 I would --

2 Q. And you would --

3 A. -- I might agree with them the way it was  
4 presented.

5 Q. You would agree with me that after  
6 disruption in the unidirectional flow, the instruments  
7 and even the hands of the surgeon might be  
8 contaminated; correct?

9 A. Potentially.

10 Q. Okay. And you would also agree with me that  
11 if the implant is uncovered, that any disruption in  
12 the unidirectional airflow could cause the implant to  
13 become con -- contaminated; correct?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Okay. On page six --

16 MR. ASSAAD: Doctor, I have about one hour  
17 left, and I'd appreciate your attention --

18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

19 MR. ASSAAD: -- to the deposition instead  
20 of --

21 THE WITNESS: Okay.

22 MR. ASSAAD: -- being on your phone.

23 Q. On page six of Exhibit 5, bottom of the  
24 first paragraph, it says, "...turbulent air systems  
25 are not sensitive to airflow disruption in the manner

1 I don't want you to look, but you, sitting  
2 today, you -- I mean you can't cite the name of the  
3 article sitting here right now this instant.

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay. Do you agree with me that you need  
6 fewer CFUs to cause a periprosthetic joint infection  
7 than a superficial wound infection? Correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Okay. You just disagree with our experts  
10 that you only need one.

11 A. That could be a little bit of a semantic.  
12 Are we talking one growing to a million or --

13 Generally, inoculums, when you have small  
14 inoculums that are in the hundreds or thousands, they  
15 don't create infections. I did some of this work that  
16 wasn't published with fracture work myself personally.  
17 When you had small inoculums of bacteria, no  
18 infections occurred even though -- and there were --  
19 and there were like thousands in fracture-healing  
20 scenarios, so I know that you could -- any one could  
21 turn into a million, but in a general --

22 When we're talking about creating an  
23 infection, you need -- in many of these, even the  
24 animal models that I cited, it was still like a  
25 thousand before that was inoculated, before infections

1 A. Yes.

2 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
3 question.

4 Q. And if you found out that a device was  
5 bringing up that bioburden from underneath the  
6 operating room table and putting it over the surgical  
7 site, would that cause you any concern?

8 A. That would cause me concern.

9 Q. Okay. Because you would agree with me that  
10 particles -- there's a high probability of particles  
11 underneath the operating room table, some of them are  
12 going to contain pathogens.

13 A. No. They're --

14 We've just done an experiment where we were  
15 using a BioTrack device, which is evaluating bioactive  
16 particles, and we're finding that there's only like  
17 one in a thousand particles are -- are -- have  
18 bacteria in them. Low amount. And then it also has  
19 different size particles, and some particles I  
20 wouldn't be concerned about if they are -- because  
21 they wouldn't be harboring bacteria. I don't think  
22 they would be harb -- harboring virus; I'm not worried  
23 about viral infections. So if they're particles that  
24 are under .3 microns or something like that, I'm not  
25 that worried about that.

1 it did have an effect on contaminating the sterile  
2 field, you agree then it would be a defective product;  
3 correct?

4 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the  
5 question, calls for a legal conclusion.

6 THE WITNESS: Does that mean I answer it?

7 MR. ASSAAD: Yes.

8 MR. C. GORDON: It's whatever you can  
9 answer.

10 A. If a device -- am I allowed to answer --  
11 causes bacteria into a sterile field, would I agree  
12 that we shouldn't be using that device? Is that your  
13 question?

14 Q. Yes.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Now I noticed that you comment in  
17 your report -- on pages 13 and 14, 15 and 16 -- on the  
18 McGovern article; correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. All right. You mentioned that the McGovern  
21 article could be explained by the Hawthorne effect.  
22 Do you remember saying that in your report?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Sitting here today, have you talked to any  
25 of the -- any of the physicians or researchers that